Ī	Case 3:05-cv-05407-RJB Document 25	Filed 11/01/05 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
11	AT TACOMA	
12	GREGORY CHAPMAN,	
13	Petitioner,	Case No. C05-5407RJB
14	V.	ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS
15	DOUG WADDINGTON	ORDER OTTETIBITO MOTIONS
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	This habeas corpus petition has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant	
19	to Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Magistrates' Rules MJR 3 and MJR	
20	4. Petitioner seeks federal habeas corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (Dkt. # 15).	
21	Before the court is respondents motion for a more definite statement, (Dkt. # 22), and	
22	petitioner's motion asking that the last three pages of his petition be struck from the record and that	
23	he be appointed counsel. (Dkt. # 23).	
24	Respondent notes that the last three pages of the petition are pleadings from a state court	
25	brief that raise issues that are not in the body of the petition itself. (Dkt. # 22). Respondent asks	
26	that petitioner provide a concise statement of the claims. In response petitioner asks to strike the last	
27		
28	ORDER	

three page of his petition from the record.

Petitioner has provided clarification by way of his motion. He indicated he did not intend to raise additional issues by including the state briefing. The court holds that the last three pages of the petition were not intended to raise issues independent from the body of the form petition. Thus, respondents motion is **GRANTED.** However, the court believes the clarification now provided is sufficient.

The last three pages of the petition will remain in the file but will not be considered by the court. As to the request for counsel, the Court, having reviewed the record, hereby finds and ORDERS:

- There is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §

  2254 unless an evidentiary hearing is required, because the action is civil, not criminal, in nature. See

  Terravona v. Kincheloe, 852 F.2d 424, 429 (9th Cir. 1988); Brown v. Vasquez, 952 F.2d 1164, 1168

  (9th Cir. 1992); and Rule 8(c) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States

  District Courts. An evidentiary hearing has not been granted in this case. Further, the claims in the petition are adequately set forth and articulated. Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. # 23) is therefore **DENIED**.
- (2) The clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to petitioner and counsel for respondent.

DATED this 28th day of October, 2005.

28 ORDER

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge